 Case 5:12-cv-01341-G	Document 529-2	Filed 09/22/20	Page 1 of 21
	XHIB	IT 2	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA Case No. 5:12-cv-01341-G

DEPOSITION OF: MAGGIE SILVERTOOTH - DECEMBER 20, 2018

\_\_\_\_\_

IN RE SANDRIDGE ENERGY, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION,

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ALL ACTIONS.

PURSUANT TO NOTICE AND AGREEMENT, THE DEPOSITION OF MAGGIE SILVERTOOTH, was taken on behalf of the Defendant at 1600 Broadway, Suite 470, Denver, Colorado 80202, on December 20, 2018 at 9:01 a.m., before Barbara J. Davalos, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and Notary Public within Colorado.



		Page 2
1	АРР	EARANCES
2	For the Plaintiffs:	MARK S. REICH, ESQ.
		CHRISTOPHER GILROY, ESQ.
3		(Telephonic)
1		Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd,
4		LLP 58 S. Service Road
5		Suite 200
		Melville, New York 11747
6		(631) 367-7100
		mreich@rgrdlaw.com
7		cgilroy@rgrdlaw.com
8	For the Plaintiffs:	JOSH BAKER, ESQ.
9	Case No. 15-cv-00634G	The Rosen Law Firm, PA 101 Greenwood Avenue
		Suite 440
10		Jenkintown, Pennsylvania 19046
		(215) 600-2817
11		jbaker@rosenlegal.com
12	For the Defendant:	STEVEN M. BAUER, ESQ.
13	Tom Ward	Latham & Watkins, LLP
13		505 Montgomery Street Suite 2000
14		San Francisco, California 94111
		(415) 391-0600
15		steven.bauer@lw.com
16	For the Defendants:	MARK P. GIMBEL, ESQ.
	James Bennett, Matthew	Covington & Burling, LLP
17	Grubb and SandRidge	620 Eighth Avenue
18	Energy, Inc.	The New York Times Building New York, New York 10018
		(212) 841-1161
19		mgimbel@cov.com
20	For the Defendant:	DAVID B. SPRINGER, ESQ.
	SandRidge Mississippian	
21	Trust I	111 Congress Avenue
22		Suite 2300 Austin, Texas 78701
		(512) 494-3617
23		david.springer@bracewell.com
24		
25		



1 A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) 2 For the Deponent: JEFFREY M. HABER, ESQ. Freiberger Haber, LLP 3 708 3rd Avenue 5th Floor 4 New York, New York 10017 (21) 209-1005 5 jhaber@fhnylaw.com
Freiberger Haber, LLP  708 3rd Avenue  5th Floor  New York, New York 10017 (21) 209-1005
3 708 3rd Avenue 5th Floor 4 New York, New York 10017 (21) 209-1005
5th Floor  4 New York, New York 10017 (21) 209-1005
4 New York, New York 10017 (21 209-1005
209-1005
5 jhaber@fhnylaw.com
6 Also Present: Matt Thompson, Esq.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24 25



Case 5:12-cv-01341-G Document 529-2 Filed 09/22/20 Page 5 of 21 Page 19 1 reports? 2 Α. Yes. Describe those for me, please. 3 Q. 4 On a daily basis, we would take a screen shot 5 of the geo-steering -- so where we had been in hole --6 just a snapshot of it. And we would write up just a little thing saying, This is how many feet we drilled, 7 8 you know, overnight, this is how fast we were drilling, 9 these are the shows that you -- that we've -- been reported, that general information. 10 11 And then once a well TD'ed, you would write up a TD report with, again, like a little screen shot of 12 13 the final -- a wellbore screen shot and just say, like, At a thousand feet, measured depth we encountered this, 14 15 or, At 7,000 feet we encountered chert. Just kind of 16 give a report on the well's activities. Any other kinds of reports other than the ones 17 Ο. you just described? 18 19 No. Those are the . . . Α. 20 So can you explain to us the difference Q.

- 21 between your job as a geologist and a geological
- 22 engineer.
- 23 A. I don't know what a geological engineer does.
- Q. Okay. Would you use a different phrase than I
- 25 would use? Who were the engineers that were working



- 1 with you? What would you call -- give their title?
- 2 A. Drilling engineers?
- 3 Q. Drilling engineers. Okay.
- 4 A. I'm not sure what job you're -- like job
- 5 description you're referring to. So there was drilling
- 6 engineers. There's reservoir engineers. There's
- 7 construction engineers. None of us were referred to as
- 8 geological engineers, if they were . . .
- 9 Q. What's the difference between your job and a
- 10 reservoir engineer's job?
- 11 A. Reservoir engineers don't look at the geology
- 12 so much. They look at the properties of the rock, and
- 13 they look at what could be down there. And they --
- 14 reservoir engineers are often the ones to determine
- 15 what properties an area may have that may be conducive
- 16 to oil and gas.
- 17 Q. And that was not part of your job?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Okay. Have you ever met Tom Ward?
- 20 A. In meetings, yes.
- Q. How many meetings have you been in with
- 22 Mr. Ward?
- 23 A. I would say less than ten.
- Q. Did you ever have any discussions with him?
- A. Not one-on-one, no.



Page 21 In these meetings, did he ever ask you any 1 Ο. 2 questions? 3 Α. No. 4 Did you ever speak to him in any of these 5 fewer than ten meetings? 6 Not directly to him, no. Meaning, you spoke at the meeting --7 Q. I spoke at the meeting, but I wasn't saying, 8 Α. 9 Hey, Mr. Ward, this. All right. Have you ever had any discussions 10 O. 11 with anyone from a firm called Netherland Sewell? 12 Α. No. 13 Ο. Do you even know who they are? 14 Α. No. 15 Okay. Were you involved in any way, as far as O. you know, with the Mississippian Trust? 16 17 Α. No. 18 Q. All right. MR. BAUER: Let us mark the complaint in the 19 20 Glitz (phonetic) case as Exhibit 1, please. 21 (Exhibit Number 1 was marked.) 22 (Discussion off the record.) 23 MR. BAUER: Okay. So we've placed before the witness the Third Consolidated Amended Complaint in the 24



SandRidge Energy Securities litigation case.

25

Page 22 (BY MR. BAUER) Ms. Silvertooth, have you ever 1 Ο. seen this before? 2 3 Yes. Α. 4 Okay. When did you first see it? A few weeks ago. 5 Α. And how did it come to be that you saw this 6 7 complaint a few weeks ago? 8 It was sent to me via email. Α. And who sent it to you? 9 Q. Jeff, my counsel. Is that -- counsel. 10 Α. 11 Q. And that was the first time you'd seen it? 12 Correct. Α. And did you read the whole thing? 13 Ο. 14 I did. Α. 15 Had you ever discussed this complaint with Ο. anyone before you received it from Mr. Haber? 16 17 Α. No. 18 Q. Had you ever discussed any portions of the complaint with anyone --19 20 Α. No. -- before you received it from Mr. Haber? 21 O. 22 Α. No.

- 23 Okay. Did you come to understand that you are Q.
- referred to in this complaint? 24
- 25 Α. I am aware.



- 1 Q. And when did you become aware of that?
- 2 A. When I was subpoenaed.
- Q. Okay. That's when you were subpoenaed by the
- 4 defense, right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Did you know anything about this securities
- 7 litigation before you were subpoenaed by the defense?
- 8 A. I did not know there was a -- I did not know
- 9 there was a class action lawsuit before I was
- 10 subpoena -- well, before I was subpoenaed.
- 11 Q. Did you know that there was any kind of
- 12 lawsuit?
- 13 A. I knew that the investors were -- I didn't
- 14 know if they were suing Mr. Ward and Matt Grubb, but I
- 15 knew there had been rumblings and I had seen things
- 16 that the investors were unhappy with them.
- 17 Q. What are the things that you knew or saw?
- 18 A. I had seen some news articles on Seeking Alpha
- 19 or just like those general energy publications.
- Q. But when you saw those -- the news articles on
- 21 Seeking Alpha and other publications, did you realize
- 22 that you had any involvement in that situation?
- 23 A. No. I probably didn't read them. I think I
- 24 just saw them.
- 25 Q. So was there a time that you were contacted by



- 1 the plaintiffs' lawyers in this case?
- 2 MR. HABER: Objection to form.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 MR. HABER: You can answer if you can.
- 5 A. Oh. Yes. I'm assuming.
- 6 MR. HABER: Well, the question is, you say
- 7 "lawyers." Do you mean lawyers? Agents of lawyers?
- 8 Be a little more specific so she can answer.
- 9 Q. (BY MR. BAUER) All right. So there appears
- 10 to be a distinction between the lawyers and agents for
- 11 the lawyers. So were you --
- 12 A. Sure.
- Q. Do you know, were you contacted by lawyers for
- 14 the plaintiffs or agents for the lawyers for the
- 15 plaintiffs?
- MR. REICH: Objection.
- 17 MR. HABER: Again, objection, to the extent
- 18 you know what an agent is or who an agent is.
- MR. BAUER: I'm trying to ask the question you
- 20 told me to ask.
- MR. HABER: Fair enough. Fair enough. Why
- 22 don't we just ask, was she contacted by either the
- 23 lawyers or an investigator working on behalf of a
- 24 lawyer. That's what you want. She can answer that.
- Q. (BY MR. BAUER) So let me ask that question.



- 1 Q. Is there anything else in that paragraph that
- 2 you would correct?
- 3 A. I don't remember Mr. Ward instructing Zach
- 4 to -- how much to lease. That -- I don't recall that
- 5 being correct.
- 6 Q. Okay. What do you recall?
- 7 A. Just that he told him to lease this area, but
- 8 he didn't give him a dollar value.
- 9 Q. What did you tell the -- well, first let me
- 10 ask you, what do you remember about Mr. Ward saying to
- 11 lease an area? Can you give us any more detail?
- 12 A. I remember we were in a meeting and Zach
- 13 Graham was the landman in this particular area. And I
- 14 remember that Tom Ward got up and went to a map, and he
- 15 said, "I would like to lease this area," hand-waving.
- 16 And Zach said okay.
- 17 Q. Okay. So we're talking about one particular
- 18 meeting --
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. -- with you and Mr. Ward and Zach Graham,
- 21 right?
- A. Uh-huh.
- 23 Q. Yes?
- A. Correct.
- MR. HABER: Objection.



- 1 O. (BY MR. BAUER) Who else is in the meeting?
- 2 A. There would have been other geologists. There
- 3 would have been landmen, reservoir engineers. There
- 4 would have been our -- the VPs for the SandRidge -- for
- 5 the Kansas Miss. Aaron Reyna would have been in there.
- 6 Q. Approximately how many people?
- 7 A. Those meetings generally had 15 to 30 people
- 8 in them.
- 9 Q. Okay. And do you have any firmer memory of
- 10 how many people were at this particular meeting?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Where was the meeting held?
- 13 A. In our large conference room on our floor.
- Q. Which floor?
- 15 A. I think 26 -- 24?
- 16 Q. And was this a regular meeting?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. What was the subject of the regular meeting?
- 19 A. These were our weekly -- just our weekly team
- 20 meetings.
- 21 Q. And what team are we talking about?
- 22 A. The Kansas Mississippi meetings.
- Q. So there was a different meeting for
- 24 geologists and engineers regarding the Oklahoma
- 25 Mississippi, right?



- 1 A. I don't know what Oklahoma does -- did.
- Q. Okay. So all the meetings you attended were
- 3 the Kansas Mississippian?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. And did you attend those during your entire
- 6 time at SandRidge?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. How frequently?
- 9 A. I believe they were once a week.
- 10 Q. Do you remember what day?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Do you remember how long they would go?
- 13 A. I know they were long. I don't know how many
- 14 hours, but I know they were long.
- 15 Q. Morning or afternoon?
- 16 A. Morning. I'm not entirely sure. They -- I
- 17 don't know if they were at the same time every week.
- 18 Q. How frequently did Mr. Ward attend those
- 19 meetings?
- 20 A. Not very frequently. I would say less than
- 21 25 percent of the time.
- Q. How about Mr. Grubb? How frequently did he
- 23 attend them?
- 24 A. I would say either the same amount or slightly
- 25 less.



Page 64 fund's allegations? 1 2 Α. No. 3 Did you ever know whether the hedge fund's Q. 4 allegations were proven or disproven? 5 Α. No. Do you know anything about the result of those 6 allegations for the company, SandRidge? 7 8 Α. No. How about for Mr. Ward? 9 Q. 10 Α. No. 11 Do you know anything about Mr. Ward's Q. 12 employment agreement with SandRidge? 13 Α. No. What do you know about SandRidge's leasing 14 Q. 15 activity in Kansas? Did -- was SandRidge actively 16 leasing properties in Kansas in the time that you were 17 working at SandRidge? 18 MR. REICH: Objection. MR. HABER: Objection to form. 19 20 Α. I wasn't a landman, so I wasn't in charge of 21 leasing. So I'm not sure what . . . (BY MR. BAUER) Do you know whether SandRidge 22 Q. leased properties in Kansas during 2012? 23 No, I don't. 24 Α. Do you know whether SandRidge leased 25 Q.



- 1 properties in Kansas in 2013?
- 2 A. No, I don't.
- Q. All right. Let's go to Paragraph 126, please.
- 4 And have you had a chance to read it?
- 5 A. No. I'm sorry.
- 6 Yeah. I've read it.
- 7 Q. Okay. And is this what you told the
- 8 plaintiffs' investigator?
- 9 A. I told her that the Mississippi was not
- 10 producing what was anticipated, and I did tell her that
- 11 I believed it was common knowledge that it was
- 12 underperforming.
- 13 Q. Is there anything in Paragraph 126 that you
- 14 would correct?
- 15 A. The "frustration within SandRidge," I feel
- 16 like that assumes I'm talking about all of SandRidge.
- 17 I don't know what the people at the gym thought. I
- 18 know -- I would have just been speaking to my group.
- 19 Q. So your -- the geologists were frustrated?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. And what was frustrating to the geologists in
- 22 your group?
- 23 A. Well, it's frustrating when you drill a dry
- 24 hole. It's kind of a blow to the ego.
- Q. Let's go sentence by sentence here now.



- 1 You're talking about, "Oil production in the
- 2 Mississippian play was lower than had been
- 3 anticipated." Can you give us any details about that
- 4 statement? For example, what, in your mind, had been
- 5 anticipated?
- 6 MR. REICH: Objection.
- 7 MR. HABER: Objection.
- 8 A. Yeah, I don't know what they were expecting
- 9 number-wise, production wise. I can tell you I drilled
- 10 a well that produced one barrel of oil. So that's not
- 11 what anybody was expecting, so -- but I'm not sure like
- 12 what their type, volume, curve -- I don't know what
- 13 their anticipated volume was. I just know if you drill
- 14 a well with one barrel of oil, that's not what you're
- 15 anticipating.
- 16 Q. (BY MR. BAUER) Approximately when did your
- 17 well come up with just one barrel of oil?
- 18 A. Towards the end of my time there.
- 19 O. In 2013?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Okay. Were there any other examples where you
- 22 were personally frustrated with the production from the
- 23 Mississippian?
- A. Not -- not specific times.
- Q. Was the -- if you know or if you can say, was



- 1 the frustration constant during the entire time that
- 2 you were there? Or was there fluctuations in the
- 3 frustration?
- 4 MR. REICH: Objection.
- 5 A. I'm not going to speak for other people. I
- 6 don't know what other people were feeling on a daily
- 7 basis.
- 8 Q. (BY MR. BAUER) How about the -- comparing the
- 9 oil production to what was anticipated? Did that
- 10 change at all over the course of your one-year tenure
- 11 at SandRidge.
- 12 MR. REICH: Objection.
- 13 MR. HABER: Objection.
- 14 A. Yeah, I don't know the answer to that
- 15 question.
- 16 Q. (BY MR. BAUER) And one more question. When
- 17 you say that the oil production was lower, do you have
- 18 any specific amount that it was lower by?
- 19 A. No, I don't.
- Q. Okay. So let me ask you, what is the basis
- 21 for the statement that you believed it was common
- 22 knowledge at SandRidge that the Mississippian was
- 23 underperforming? Why did you say that?
- A. Well, when I'm asked why I left or when I'm
- 25 asked what the sentiment at the company was, it felt



- 1 like if you're talking to your colleagues -- I mean,
- 2 it's kind of water cooler talk saying, I can't believe
- 3 you drilled a dry hole, Maggie, or frustration that the
- 4 wells are hard to drill, the Mississippi is hard to
- 5 drill.
- It's just a tough play to understand, and
- 7 that's frustrating. And it's frustrating to feel like
- 8 you don't understand how to do your job.
- 9 Q. And when you say "common knowledge," this is
- 10 common knowledge within your group of geologists --
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. -- right?
- Was there any -- do you think it was common
- 14 knowledge within the people that went to these weekly
- 15 meetings?
- MR. HABER: Objection to form.
- 17 A. I don't know what those people thought.
- Q. (BY MR. BAUER) Do you know of any effort to
- 19 hide from folks what the performance was of any wells
- 20 in the Mississippian?
- MR. REICH: Objection.
- MR. HABER: Objection.
- A. Yeah, I don't know what they were doing.
- Q. (BY MR. BAUER) You don't know of any efforts?
- 25 A. I don't know of any efforts, no.



- 1 Q. Okay. I think you're next mentioned in
- 2 Paragraph 127. Let's move on to that. If you could
- 3 read that to yourself for a second, that would be
- 4 great.
- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 0. Okay. Is there anything in that paragraph
- 7 that you would correct?
- 8 A. Ward and Grubb were not in every meeting. I
- 9 feel like the way this is written says that it would be
- 10 common for them to be in it. I don't know if that's
- 11 how everybody else read it. But like I said before,
- 12 they would not have attended weekly.
- The production at existing wells I feel like
- 14 is a complicated thing to -- like volumes wouldn't have
- 15 been discussed, like specific numbers. And I feel like
- 16 that's a little ambiguous -- saying that production --
- 17 I mean, it probably would have just been a general
- 18 statement saying your Esplund Farms well --
- THE REPORTER: Your what? I'm sorry?
- MR. BAKER: Esplund, E-s-p-l-u-n-d, Farms.
- 21 A. That was the well that I drilled that was a
- 22 dry hole. That obviously didn't produce very much,
- 23 why? Those -- that would have been discussed but
- 24 not -- I feel like this talks about -- is referring to
- 25 like production volumes and numbers and . . .



- Q. (BY MR. BAUER) So there wasn't discussion at
- 2 these meetings of the overall production of the play?
- 3 MR. REICH: Objection.
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. (BY MR. BAUER) All right. And so discussions
- 6 would be about particular wells, right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And the discussion would not be about specific
- 9 amounts of production but just a general discussion?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And did you tell the investigator that last
- 12 sentence, "The poor performance of the Mississippian
- was discussed during these meetings"?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 O. So tell us what you recall about the poor
- 16 production -- poor performance of the Mississippian
- 17 being discussed.
- 18 A. It was just discussed that -- I'm going to
- 19 keep using that well, the Esplund Farms well as an
- 20 example, saying, you know, Well, this was located on a
- 21 high, why don't you think that produced?
- And, you know, we would look at examples and
- 23 figure out why was this area different than this area.
- 24 And it just felt like there was an overall sentiment
- 25 that it just wasn't living up to the expectations



- 1 thought.
- Q. All right. Let's go to -- I think you were in
- 3 Paragraph 129 as well.
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. All right. So I think you have to read the
- 6 entire email -- the entire paragraph in order to see
- 7 what is being attributed to you?
- 8 A. Yes. I'm familiar with this paragraph.
- 9 Q. So is there anything that you would correct in
- 10 this paragraph?
- 11 MR. HABER: Objection.
- 12 A. I don't know --
- MR. HABER: I'm sorry. Attributable to her?
- 14 To the witness? What do you mean in general?
- MR. BAUER: I mean in general.
- 16 A. In general, we did send daily emails that were
- 17 -- that the recipient's company -- I don't know. I'm
- 18 not going to -- whatever -- I don't know who FE1 and
- 19 FE2 are. But the -- I know it was sent to executives
- 20 and people within the company, higher up. And then the
- 21 part that's attributed to me is correct, although I
- 22 don't know David's exact title.
- Q. (BY MR. BAUER) Okay. David Lawler, you don't
- 24 know if he's executive vice president of operations?
- 25 A. Yeah, I don't know if that was his . . .

